arXiv:1603.05282v3 [math.AP] 26 May 2016

MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR A FRACTIONAL p-LAPLACIAN EQUATION WITH SIGN-CHANGING POTENTIAL

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

Abstract. We use a variant of the fountain Theorem to prove the existence of infinitely many weak solutions for the following fractional p-Laplace equation

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = f(x,u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $s \in (0,1)$, $p \geq 2$, $N \geq 2$, $(-\Delta)_p^s$ is the fractional p-Laplace operator, the nonlinearity f is p-superlinear at infinity and the potential $V(x)$ is allowed to be sign-changing.

1. Introduction

In this paper we are interested in the study of the nonlinear fractional p-Laplacian equation:

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = f(x,u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $s \in (0,1)$, $p \geq 2$ and $N \geq 2$.
Here $(-\Delta)_p^s$ is the fractional p-Laplace operator defined, for u smooth enough, by setting

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u(x) = 2 \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

up to some normalization constant depending upon N and s.
When $p = 2$, the equation (1.1) arises in the study of the nonlinear Fractional Schrödinger equation

$$\imath \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + (-\Delta)^s \psi = H(x,\psi) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$$

when we look for standing wave functions $\psi(x,t) = u(x)e^{-\imath ct}$, where c is a constant. This equation was introduced by Laskin [12, 13] and comes from an extension of the Feynman path integral from the Brownian-like to the Levy-like quantum mechanical paths.
Nowadays there are many papers dealing with the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35R11, 35J92.
Key words and phrases. fractional p-Laplacian, sign-changing potential, fountain theorem.

equation: see for instance [1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 15, 16] and references therein.
More recently, a new nonlocal and nonlinear operator was considered, namely the fractional p-Laplacian. In the works of Franzina and Palatucci [9] and of Lindgren and Linqvist [14], the eigenvalue problem associated with $(-\Delta)_p^s$ is studied, in particular some properties of the first eigenvalue and of the higher order eigenvalues are obtained. Torres [17] established an existence result for the problem (1.1) when f is p-superlinear and subcritical. Iannizzotto et al. [11] investigated existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of quasilinear nonlocal problems involving the p-Laplacian operator.
Motivated by the above papers, we aim to study the multiplicity of nontrivial weak solutions to (1.1), when f is p-superlinear and $V(x)$ can change sign.
More precisely, we require that the potential $V(x)$ satisfies the following assumptions:
(V1) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is bounded from below;
(V2) There exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{|y|\to\infty} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - y| \le r, V(x) \le M\}| = 0$$

for any $M > 0$,

while the nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and its primitive $F(x,t) = \displaystyle\int_0^t f(x,z)\,dz$ are such that
(f1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$, and there exist $c_1 > 0$ and $p < \nu < p_s^*$ such that

$$|f(x,t)| \le c_1(|t|^{p-1} + |t|^{\nu-1}) \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $p_s^* = \frac{Np}{N-sp}$ if $sp < N$ and $p_s^* = \infty$ for $sp \ge N$.
(f2) $F(x,0) \equiv 0, F(x,t) \ge 0$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{F(x,t)}{|t|^p} = +\infty \text{ uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

(f3) There exists $\theta \ge 1$ such that

$$\theta\mathcal{F}(x,t) \ge \mathcal{F}(x,\tau t) \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \tau \in [0,1]$$

where $\mathcal{F}(x,t) = tf(x,t) - pF(x,t)$.
(f4) $f(x,-t) = -f(x,t)$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$.
We recall that the conditions $(V1)$ and $(V2)$ on the potential V and $(f1)$-$(f4)$ with $p = 2$ and $s = 1$, have been used in [18] to extend the well-known multiplicity result due to Bartsch and Wang [2]. Examples of V and f satisfying the above assumptions are

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 2n|x| - 2n(n-1) + c_0 & \text{if } n-1 \le |x| \le (2n-1)/2 \\ -2n|x| + 2n^2 + c_0 & \text{if } (2n-1)/2 \le |x| \le n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } c_0 \in \mathbb{R}) \end{cases},$$

and

$$f(x,t) = a(x)|t|^{p-2}t\ln(1+|t|) \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $a(x)$ is a continuous bounded function with positive lower bound. Our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Assume that f satisfies $(f1)-(f4)$ and V satisfies $(V1)-(V2)$. Then the problem (1.1) has infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions.*

In order to prove Theorem 1, we will consider the following family of functionals

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p}\left[\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u(x)|^p dx\right] - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x,u)\,dx,$$

with $\lambda \in [1,2]$ and $u \in E$, where E is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$||u||_E^p = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u(x)|^p dx,$$

and we will show that $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ satisfies the assumptions of the following variant of fountain Theorem due to Zou [19]:

Theorem 2. [19] *Let $(E, ||\cdot||)$ be a Banach space, $E = \overline{\oplus_{j\in\mathbb{N}} X_j}$, with $\dim X_j < \infty$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $Y_k = \oplus_{j=1}^k X_j$ and $Z_k = \overline{\oplus_{j=k}^\infty X_j}$. Let $\mathcal{J}_\lambda : E \to \mathbb{R}$ a family of $C^1(E,\mathbb{R})$ functionals defined by*

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) = A(u) - \lambda B(u), \quad \lambda \in [1,2].$$

Assume that $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ satisfies the following assumptions:

(i) $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in [1,2]$, $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(-u) = \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u)$ for all $(\lambda,u) \in [1,2]\times E$.

(ii) $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in E$, and $A(u) \to \infty$ or $B(u) \to \infty$ as $||u|| \to \infty$.

(iii) There exists $r_k > \rho_k$ such that

$$\beta_k(\lambda) = \max_{u\in Y_k, ||u||=r_k} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) < \alpha_k(\lambda) = \inf_{u\in Z_k, ||u||=\rho_k} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u), \quad \forall \lambda \in [1,2].$$

Then

$$\alpha_k(\lambda) \leq \xi_k(\lambda) = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_k}\max_{u\in B_k} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(\gamma(u)) \quad \forall \lambda \in [1,2],$$

where

$$B_k = \{u \in Y_k : ||u|| \leq r_k\} \text{ and } \Gamma_k = \{\gamma \in C(B_k, X) : \gamma \text{ is odd }, \gamma = Id \text{ on } \partial B_k\}.$$

Moreover, for a.e. $\lambda \in [1,2]$, there exists a sequence $\{u_m^k(\lambda)\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \subset E$ such that

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} ||u_m^k(\lambda)|| < \infty, \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_m^k(\lambda)) \to 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u_m^k(\lambda)) \to \xi_k(\lambda) \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Remark 1. By using $(V1)$ we know that there exists $V_0 > 0$ such that $V_1(x) = V(x) + V_0 \geq 1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $f_1(x,t) = f(x,t) + V_0|t|^{p-2}t$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$. Then it is easy to verify that the study of (1.1) is equivalent to investigate the following problem

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u + V_1(x)|u|^{p-2}u = f_1(x,u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

From now on, we will assume that $V(x) \geq 1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ in $(V1)$.

2. **Preliminaries and functional setting**

In this preliminary Section, for the reader's convenience, we recall some basic results related to the fractional Sobolev spaces. For more details about this topic we refer to [6].
Let $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. We say that u belongs to the space $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$[u]^p_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} dxdy < \infty.$$

Then $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$||u||_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left[[u]^p_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + |u|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \right]^{1/p}.$$

We recall the main embeddings results for this class of fractional Sobolev spaces:

Theorem 3. [6] *Let $s \in (0,1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$ be such that $sp < N$. Then there exists $C = C(N,p,s) > 0$ such that*

$$|u|_{L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C||u||_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

for any $u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover the embedding $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is locally compact whenever $q < p_s^*$.
If $sp = N$ then $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [p, \infty)$.
If $sp > N$ then $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset C^{0, \frac{sp-N}{p}}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now we give the definition of weak solution for the problem (1.1).
Taking into account the presence of the potential $V(x)$, we denote by E the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$||u|| := \left([u]^p_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + |u|^p_V \right)^{1/p}, |u|^p_V = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u(x)|^p dx.$$

Equivalently

$$E = \left\{ u \in L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, |u|_V < \infty \right\}.$$

Let us denote by $(E^*, ||\cdot||_*)$ the dual space of $(E, ||\cdot||)$.
We define the nonlinear operator $A : E \to E^*$ by setting

$$\langle A(u), v\rangle = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}(v(x)-v(y))\,dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^{p-2}uv\,dx,$$

for $u, v \in E$. Here $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between E and E^*.
Let

$$B(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x,u)dx$$

for $u \in E$, and we set $\mathcal{J}(u) = \frac{1}{p}\langle A(u), u\rangle - B(u)$ for $u \in E$.

Definition 1. *We say that $u \in E$ is a weak solution to (1.1) if u satisfies*

$$\langle A(u), v\rangle = \langle B'(u), v\rangle$$

for all $v \in E$.

Now we show that the following compactness result holds.

Lemma 1. *Under the assumption $(V1)$ and $(V2)$, the embedding $E \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for any $q \in [p, p_s^*)$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset E$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in E. We have to show that $u_n \to 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in [p, p_s^*)$. By the interpolation inequality we only need to consider $q = p$. By using the Theorem 3 we know that $u_n \to 0$ in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus it suffices to show that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_R^c(0)} |u_n|^p\,dx < \epsilon;$$

here $B_R^c(0) = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)$.
Let $\{y_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying $\mathbb{R}^N \subset \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} B_r(y_i)$ and such that each point x is contained in at most 2^N such balls $B_r(y_i)$. We recall that we are assuming $V(x) \geq 1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
Let

$$A_{R,M} = \{x \in B_R^c : V(x) \geq M\} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{R,M} = \{x \in B_R^c : V(x) < M\}.$$

Then

$$\int_{A_{R,M}} |u_n|^p\,dx \leq \frac{1}{M}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u_n|^p\,dx$$

and this can be made arbitrarily small by choosing M large.
Take $\gamma > 1$ such that $p\gamma \leq p_s^*$ and let $\gamma' = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$ be the dual exponent of γ.

Then for fixed $M > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{R,M}} |u_n|^p\,dx &\leq \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{B_{R,M}\cap B_r(y_i)} |u_n|^p\,dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \Big(\int_{B_{R,M}\cap B_r(y_i)} |u_n|^{p\gamma}\,dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} |B_{R,M}\cap B_r(y_i)|^{\frac{1}{\gamma'}} \\ &\leq \epsilon_R \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \Big(\int_{B_{R,M}\cap B_r(y_i)} |u_n|^{p\gamma}\,dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\leq C\epsilon_R \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} ||u_n||^p_{W^{s,p}(B_r(y_i))} \\ &\leq C\epsilon_R 2^N ||u_n||^p_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_R = \sup_{y_i} |B_{R,M}\cap B_r(y_i)|^{\frac{1}{\gamma'}}$. By assumption $(V1)$ we can infer that $\epsilon_R \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$. Then we may make this term small by choosing R large. □

Finally we prove the following result which will be fundamental later

Lemma 2. *If $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in E and $\langle A(u_n), u_n - u\rangle \to 0$ then $u_n \to u$ in E.*

Proof. Firstly, let us observe that for any $u, v \in E$

$$\Big|\langle A(u), v\rangle\Big| \leq [u]^{p-1}_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} [v]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + |v|^{p-1}_V |v|_V \leq ||u||^{p-1}||v||.$$

Then, elementary calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq (||u_n||^{p-1} - ||u||^{p-1})(||u_n|| - ||u||) \\ &\leq ||u_n||^p - \langle A(u_n), u\rangle - \langle A(u), u_n\rangle + ||u||^p \\ &= \langle A(u_n), u_n\rangle - \langle A(u_n), u\rangle - \langle A(u), u_n\rangle + \langle A(u), u\rangle \\ &= \langle A(u_n), u_n - u\rangle - \langle A(u), u_n - u\rangle =: I_n + II_n. \qquad (2.1)\end{aligned}$$

By the hypotheses of lemma follows that $I_n, II_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ so, in view of (2.1), we have $||u_n|| \to ||u||$ as $n \to \infty$. Since it is well known that the weak convergence and the norm convergence in a uniformly convex space imply the strong convergence, to conclude the proof it will be enough to prove that E is uniformly convex.
Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 2)$ and let $u, v \in E$ such that $||u||, ||v|| \leq 1$ and $||u - v|| > \varepsilon$.
By using the fact that

$$\left|\frac{a+b}{2}\right|^p + \left|\frac{a-b}{2}\right|^p \leq \frac{1}{2}(|a|^p + |b|^p) \text{ for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left|\frac{u+v}{2}\right|\right|^p + \left|\left|\frac{u-v}{2}\right|\right|^p \\
&= \left\{ \left[\frac{u+v}{2}\right]^p_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \left[\frac{u-v}{2}\right]^p_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \left|\frac{u+v}{2}\right|^p_V + \left|\frac{u-v}{2}\right|^p_V \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\left([u]^p_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + [v]^p_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + |u|^p_V + |v|^p_V \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(||u||^p + ||v||^p) = 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives that $\left|\left|\frac{u+v}{2}\right|\right|^p < 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^p}{2^p}$.
Choosing

$$\delta = 1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0,$$

we can infer that $||\frac{u+v}{2}|| < 1 - \delta$. Then E is uniformly convex. □

Let us introduce the following family of functional $\mathcal{J}_\lambda : E \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p}\langle A(u), u\rangle - \lambda B(u), \quad \lambda \in [1, 2].$$

After integrating, we obtain from $(f1)$ that for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$

$$|F(x,t)| \leq \frac{c_1}{p}|t|^p + \frac{c_1}{\nu}|t|^\nu \leq c_1(|t|^p + |t|^\nu). \tag{2.2}$$

By using $(V1)$-$(V2)$, (2.2) and Lemma 1 follows that $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ is well defined on E. Moreover $\mathcal{J}_\lambda \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u)v = \langle A(u), v\rangle - \lambda\langle B'(u), v\rangle \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\langle B'(u), v\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)v dx.$$

Then the critical points of $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}$ are weak solutions to (1.1).
In order to apply the Theorem 2, we can observe that E is a separable ($C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is separable and dense in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$) and reflexive Banach space, so there exist $(\phi_n) \subset E$ and $(\phi_n^*) \subset E^*$ such that $E = \overline{span\{\phi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$, $E^* = \overline{span\{\phi_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ and $\langle \phi_n^*, \phi_m\rangle = 1$ if $n = m$ and zero otherwise.
Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $X_n = span\{\phi_n\}$, $Y_n = \oplus_{j=1}^n X_j$ and $Z_n = \overline{\oplus_{j=n}^\infty X_j}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we give the proof of the main result of this paper.
Firstly we prove the following Lemmas:

Lemma 3. *Assume that V satisfies $(V1)$-$(V2)$ and that f satisfies $(f1)$. Then there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence $\rho_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ such that*

$$\alpha_k(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in Z_k, ||u||=\rho_k} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u), \quad \forall k \geq k_1.$$

Proof. Let us define

$$b_p(k) = \sup_{u \in Z_k, ||u||=1} |u|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

and

$$b_\nu(k) = \sup_{u \in Z_k, ||u||=1} |u|_{L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

We aim to prove that

$$b_p(k) \to 0 \text{ and } b_\nu(k) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

It is clear that $b_p(k)$ and $b_\nu(k)$ are decreasing with respect to k so there exist $b_p, b_\nu \geq 0$ such that $b_p(k) \to b_p$ and $b_\nu(k) \to b_\nu$ as $k \to \infty$. For any $k \geq 0$, there exists $u_k \in Z_k$ such that $||u_k|| = 1$ and $|u_k|_p \geq \frac{b_p(k)}{2}$.
Taking into account that E is reflexive, we can assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in E. Now, for any $\phi_n^* \in \{\phi_j^*\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, we can see that $\langle \phi_n^*, u_k\rangle = 0$ for $k > n$, so $\langle \phi_n^*, u\rangle = \lim_{k\to\infty}\langle \phi_n^*, u_k\rangle = 0$. Then $\langle \phi_n^*, u\rangle = 0$ for any $\phi_n^* \in \{\phi_j^*\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, which gives $u = 0$. Since E is compactly embedded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by Lemma 1, we have $u_k \to 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which implies that $b_p = 0$. Similarly we can prove $b_\nu = 0$.
Then, for any $u \in Z_k$ and $\lambda \in [1, 2]$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\langle A(u), u\rangle - \lambda B(u) \\
&\geq \frac{||u||^p}{p} - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u)dx \\
&\geq \frac{||u||^p}{p} - 2c_1(|u|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + |u|^\nu_{L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)}) \\
&\geq \frac{||u||^p}{p} - 2c_1(b_p^p(k)||u||^p + b_\nu^\nu(k)||u||^\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

By using (3.1), we can find $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$2c_1 b_p^p(k) \leq \frac{1}{2p} \quad \forall k \geq k_1.$$

For each $k \geq k_1$, we choose

$$\rho_k := (8pc_1 b_\nu^\nu(k))^{\frac{1}{p-\nu}}.$$

Let us note that

$$\rho_k \to \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty, \tag{3.2}$$

since $\nu > p$. Then we deduce that

$$\alpha_k(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in Z_k, ||u|| = \rho_k} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{4p}\rho_k^p > 0$$

for any $k \geq k_1$. □

Lemma 4. *Assume that* $(V1), (V2), (f1)$ *and* $(f2)$ *hold. Then for the positive integer* k_1 *and the sequence* ρ_k *obtained in Lemma 3, there exists* $r_k > \rho_k$ *for any* $k \geq k_1$ *such that*

$$\beta_k(\lambda) = \max_{u \in Y_k, ||u|| = r_k} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) < 0.$$

Proof. Firstly we prove that for any finite dimensional subspace $F \subset E$ there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| \geq \delta ||u||\}| \geq \delta, \quad \forall u \in F \setminus \{0\}. \tag{3.3}$$

We argue by contradiction and we suppose that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $0 \neq u_n \in F$ such that

$$\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u_n(x)| \geq \frac{1}{n}||u||\right\}\right| < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $v_n := \frac{u_n}{||u_n||} \in F$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $||v_n|| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |v_n(x)| \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\}\right| < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.4}$$

Up to a subsequence, we may assume that $v_n \to v$ in E for some $v \in F$ since F is a finite dimensional space. Clearly $||v|| = 1$. By using Lemma 1 and the fact that all norms are equivalent on F, we deduce that

$$|v_n - v|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $v \neq 0$, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |v(x)| \geq \delta_0\}| \geq \delta_0. \tag{3.6}$$

Set

$$\Lambda_0 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |v(x)| \geq \delta_0\}$$

and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Lambda_n := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |v_n(x)| \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\} \quad \Lambda_n^c := \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_n.$$

Taking into account (3.4) and (3.6), we get

$$|\Lambda_n \cap \Lambda_0| \geq |\Lambda_0| - |\Lambda_n^c| \geq \delta_0 - \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{\delta_0}{2}.$$

for n large enough.

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n - v|^p dx &\geq \int_{\Lambda_n \cap \Lambda_0} |v_n - v|^p dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Lambda_n \cap \Lambda_0} (|v|^p - |v_n|^p) dx \\ &\geq \Big(\delta_0 - \frac{1}{n}\Big)^p |\Lambda_n \cap \Lambda_0| \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{\delta_0}{2}\Big)^{p+1} > 0\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.5).
Now, by using the fact that Y_k is finite dimensional and (3.3), we can find $\delta_k > 0$ such that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| \geq \delta_k ||u||\}| \geq \delta_k, \quad \forall u \in Y_k \setminus \{0\}. \tag{3.7}$$

By $(f2)$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $R_k > 0$ such that

$$F(x,u) \geq \frac{|u|^p}{\delta_k^{p+1}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } |u| \geq R_k.$$

Set

$$A_u^k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| \geq \delta_k ||u||\}$$

and let us observe that, by (3.7), $|A_u^k| \geq \delta_k$ for any $u \in Y_k \setminus \{0\}$.
Then for any $u \in Y_k$ such that $||u|| \geq \frac{R_k}{\delta_k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) &\leq \frac{1}{p}||u||^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x,u) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p}||u||^p - \int_{A_u^k} \frac{|u|^p}{\delta_k^{p+1}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p}||u||^p - ||u||^p = -\Big(\frac{p-1}{p}\Big)||u||^p.\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $r_k > \max\{\rho_k, \frac{R_k}{\delta_k}\}$ for all $k \geq k_1$, follows that

$$\beta_k(\lambda) = \max_{u \in Y_k, ||u||=r_k} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) \leq -\Big(\frac{p-1}{p}\Big) r_k^p < 0, \forall k \geq k_1.$$

□

By using (2.2) and Lemma 1 we can see that $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in [1,2]$. Moreover, by $(f4)$, $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ is even. Then the condition (i) in Theorem 2 is satisfied. The condition (ii) is clearly true, while (iii) follows by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4.

Then, by Theorem 2, for any $k \geq k_1$ and $\lambda \in [1,2]$ there exists a sequence $\{u_m^k(\lambda)\} \subset E$ such that

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} ||u_m^k(\lambda)|| < \infty, \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_m^k(\lambda)) \to 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u_m^k(\lambda)) \to \xi_k(\lambda) \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

where

$$\xi_k(\lambda) = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_k} \max_{u\in B_k} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(\gamma(u))$$

with

$$B_k = \{u \in Y_k : ||u|| \leq r_k\} \text{ and } \Gamma_k = \{\gamma \in C(B_k, X) : \gamma \text{ is odd }, \gamma = Id \text{ on } \partial B_k\}.$$

In particular, from the proof of Lemma 3, we deduce that for any $k \geq k_1$ and $\lambda \in [1,2]$

$$\frac{1}{4p}\rho_k^p =: c_k \leq \xi_k(\lambda) \leq d_k := \max_{u\in B_k} \mathcal{J}_1(u), \tag{3.8}$$

and $c_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ by (3.2). As a consequence, for any $k \geq k_1$, we can choose $\lambda_n \to 1$ (depending on k) and get the corresponding sequences satisfying

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} ||u_m^k(\lambda_n)|| < \infty, \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(u_m^k(\lambda_n)) \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Now, we prove that for any $k \geq k_1$, $\{u_m^k(\lambda_n)\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ admits a strongly convergent subsequence $\{u_n^k\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and that such subsequence is bounded.

Lemma 5. *For each λ_n given above, the sequence $\{u_m^k(\lambda_n)\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a strong convergent subsequence.*

Proof. By (3.9) we may assume, without loss of generality, that as $m \to \infty$

$$u_m^k(\lambda_n) \rightharpoonup u_n^k \text{ in } E$$

for some $u_n^k \in E$. By Lemma 1 we have

$$u_m^k(\lambda_n) \to u_n^k \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.10}$$

By $(f1)$ and Hölder inequality follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_m^k(\lambda_n))(u_m^k(\lambda_n) - u_n^k)dx\Big| \leq \\ &\leq c_1|u_m^k(\lambda_n)|_p^{p-1}|u_m^k(\lambda_n) - u_n^k|_p + c_1|u_m^k(\lambda_n)|_\nu^{\nu-1}|u_m^k(\lambda_n) - u_n^k|_\nu\end{aligned}$$

so, by using (3.10), we get

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_m^k(\lambda_n))(u_m^k(\lambda_n) - u_n^k)dx = 0.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(u_m^k(\lambda_n)) \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

and $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u), v\rangle = \langle A(u), v\rangle - \lambda\langle B'(u), v\rangle$, we deduce that

$$\langle A(u^k_m(\lambda_n)), u^k_m(\lambda_n) - u^k_n\rangle \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Then, by using Lemma 2, we can infer that

$$u^k_m(\lambda_n) \to u^k_n \text{ in } E \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

□

Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} u^k_m(\lambda_n) = u^k_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq k_1.$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(u^k_n) = 0, \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(u^k_n) \in [c_k, d_k], \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq k_1. \tag{3.11}$$

Lemma 6. *For any $k \geq k_1$, the sequence $\{u^k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.*

Proof. For simplicity we set $u_n = u^k_n$. We suppose by contradiction that, up to a subsequence

$$||u_n|| \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.12}$$

Let $w_n = \frac{u_n}{||u_n||}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, up to subsequence, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} &w_n \rightharpoonup w \text{ in } E \\ &w_n \to w \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ &w_n \to w \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Now we distinguish two cases.
Firstly, let us suppose $w = 0$. As in [10], we can say that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $t_n \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(t_n u_n) = \max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(t u_n). \tag{3.14}$$

Since (3.12) hold true, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose $r_j = (2jp)^{1/p} w_n$ such that

$$r_j ||u_n||^{-1} \in (0, 1) \tag{3.15}$$

provided n is large enough. By (3.13), $F(\cdot, 0) = 0$ and the continuity of F, we can see

$$F(x, r_j w_n) \to F(x, r_j w) = 0 \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \tag{3.16}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, taking into account (2.2), (3.13), (3.16), $(f2)$ and by using the Dominated Convergence Theorem we deduce that

$$F(x, r_j w_n) \to 0 \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \tag{3.17}$$

as $n \to \infty$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (3.14), (3.15) and (3.17) yield

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(t_n u_n) \geq \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(r_j w_n) \geq 2j - \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, r_j w_n) dx \geq j$$

provided n is large enough and for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. As a consequence

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(t_n u_n) \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.18}$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \in [c_k, d_k]$, we deduce that $t_n \in (0,1)$ for n large enough. Thus, by (3.14) we have

$$\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(t_n u_n), t_n u_n \rangle = t_n \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=t_n} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(t u_n) = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Taking into account $(f3)$, (3.19) and (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\theta}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(t_n u_n) &= \frac{1}{\theta}\Big(\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(t_n u_n) - \frac{1}{p}\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(t_n u_n), t_n u_n\rangle\Big) \\
&= \frac{\lambda_n}{\theta p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(x, t_n u_n)dx \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda_n}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(x, u_n)dx \\
&= \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n) - \frac{1}{p}\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda_n}(u_n), u_n\rangle = \mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n)
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.11) and (3.18).
Secondly, assume that

$$w \not\equiv 0. \tag{3.20}$$

Thus the set $\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : w(x) \neq 0\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure. By using (3.12) and (3.20) we have

$$|u_n(x)| \to \infty \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.21}$$

Putting together (3.13), (3.21), and $(f2)$, and by applying Fatou's Lemma, we can easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_n}(u_n)}{||u_n||^p} &= \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{||u_n||^p}dx \\
&\geq \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^p \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{|u_n|^p}dx \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty
\end{aligned}$$

which gives a contradiction because of (3.11).
Then, we have proved that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E.

□

Now we are able to provide the proof of Theorem 1:

Proof of Theorem 1. Taking into account Lemma 6 and (3.11), for each $k \geq k_1$, we can use similar arguments to those in the proof of Lemma 5, to show that the sequence $\{u_n^k\}$ admits a strong convergent subsequence with the limit u^k being just a critical point of $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{J}(u^k) \in [c_k, d_k]$ for all $k \geq k_1$. Since $c_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ in (3.8), we deduce the existence of infinitely many

nontrivial critical points of $\mathcal{J}$. As a consequence, we have that (1.1) possesses infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions.

□

References

[1] V. Ambrosio, *Ground states for superlinear fractional Schroödinger equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, (2016) to appear on Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.; doi : 10.5186/aasfm.2016.4147.

[2] T. Bartsch, Z. Q. Wang, *Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995)1725–1741.

[3] T. Bartsch, Z.Q. Wang and M. Willem, *The Dirichlet problem for superlinear elliptic equations*, in: M. Chipot, P. Quittner (Eds.), Handbook of Differential Equations Stationary-Partial Differential Equations, vol. 2, Elsevier, 2005, pp. 1–55, Chapter 1.

[4] M. Cheng, *Bound state for the fractional Schrödinger equation with unbounded potential*, J. Math. Phys. **53**, 043507 (2012).

[5] J. Dávila, M. del Pino, and J. Wei, *Concentrating standing waves for the fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, J. Differential Equations **256** (2014), no. 2, 858Ð892, doi: 10.1016/j.jde.2013.10.006. MR3121716.

[6] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), 521–573.

[7] P. Felmer, A. Quaas, and J. G. Tan, *Positive solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **142** (2012), no. 6, 1237–1262.

[8] R. L. Frank, E. Lenzmann and L. Silvestre, *Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional Laplacian*, Preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.2652.

[9] G. Franzina and G. Palatucci, *Fractional p-eigenvalues*, Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.) **5** (2014), no. 2, 373–386.

[10] L. Jeanjean, *On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman- Lazer type problem set on* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect.A, **129** (1999), 787–809.

[11] A. Iannizzotto, S. Liu, K. Perera and M. Squassina, *Existence results for fractional p-Laplacian problems via Morse theory*, Adv. Calc. Var. (2015), to appear.

[12] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lèvy path integrals*, Phys. Lett. A **268** (2000), 298–305.

[13] N. Laskin, *Fractional Schrödinger equation*, Phys. Rev. E **66** (2002), 056108.

[14] E. Lindgren and P. Lindqvist, *Fractional eigenvalues*, Calc. Var. **49** (2014) 795–826.

[15] G. Molica Bisci and V. Radulescu, *Ground state solutions of scalar field fractional Schrödinger equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), n. 3, 2985–3008.

[16] S. Secchi, *Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 031501.

[17] C. Torres *On superlinear Fractional p-Laplacian in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, (2014); arXiv:1412.3392.

[18] Q. Zhang and B. Xu, *Multiplicity of solutions for a class of semilinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **377** (2011), no. 2, 834–840.

[19] W. Zou, *Variant fountain theorems and their applications*, Manuscripta Math. **104** (2001) 343–358.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R. Caccioppoli", Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, via Cinthia, 80126 Napoli, Italy
E-mail address: vincenzo.ambrosio2@unina.it